arXiv:1401.2664v2 [math.AP] 15 Sep 2014

SIGN CHANGING SOLUTIONS OF THE HARDY-SOBOLEV-MAZ'YA EQUATION

DEBDIP GANGULY

Abstract. In this article we will study the existence, multiplicity and Morse index of sign changing solutions for the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya(HSM) equation in bounded domain and involving critical growth. We obtain infinitely many sign changing solutions for HSM equation. We also establish an estimate on the Morse index for the sign changing solutions.

Keywords: Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya equation; sign changing solutions; Morse index.

1. Introduction

In this article we will study the equation

$$\left.\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \frac{\lambda u}{|y|^2} &= \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-2}u}{|y|^t} + \mu u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω denotes a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N \equiv \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, $2 < k < N$, $\mu > 0$, $0 \le \lambda < \frac{(k-2)^2}{4}$, $0 \le t < 2$ and $2^*(t) = \frac{2(N-t)}{N-2}$. A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is denoted as $x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$ and Ω contains some points $x^0 = (0, z^0)$.
By a weak solution of the above problem we mean $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\int_\Omega \left(\nabla u \nabla v - \lambda \frac{uv}{|y|^2}\right) dx = \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-2}uv}{|y|^t} dx + \mu \int_\Omega uv \; dx, \;\; \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{1.2}$$

Eq. (1.1) has been used to model several astrophysical phenomenon like stellar dynamics (see [1], [2]). Also, from the mathematical point of view, Eq. (1.1) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ has generated lot of interest due to its connection with the Brezis-Nirenberg problem in the Hyperbolic space (see [4], [6], [16], [17]).

In recent years, much attention has been given to the existence of nontrivial solutions for the problem (1.1). In a bounded domain, the problem (1.1) does not have a solution in general due to the critical nature of the equation. For the case $\mu = 0$ and $2 \le k < N$, Bhakta and Sandeep in [3], proved nonexistence of nontrivial solutions for the Eq. (1.1), when Ω is star shaped with respect to the point $(0, z_0)$, using Pohozaev identity. They also discussed existence in some special bounded domain. Jannelli in [15], has considered the problem (1.1) with $t = 0$ and $k = N$ and proved the existence of positive solution under some conditions on λ and μ. In [12], Cao and Han established that the Eq. (1.1) with $t = 0$ and $k = N$ admits a nontrivial solution for all $\mu > 0$ if $\lambda \in [0, (\frac{(N-2)}{4})^2 - (\frac{N+2}{N})^2)$.

When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, the existence of positive solution for (1.1) has been studied in ([19]) and ([21]). Moreover, the qualitative properties like cylindrical symmetry, regularity, decay properties and uniqueness of the positive solution of Eq. (1.1) are thoroughly discussed in ([14]) and ([17]). Also when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, the hyperbolic symmetry of the equation (see [6], [16], [17]) plays a crucial role in the study of non degeneracy of positive solutions.

The Eq. (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$, $t = 0$ is the well known Brezis-Nirenberg problem([5]) and is well studied(see [10] [11], [20] and references therein). Cao and Yan in [8] considered problem (1.1) with $t = 0$, $k = N$. They proved the existence of infinitely many solutions for any $\mu > 0$ if $\lambda \in [0, (\frac{N-2}{2})^2 - 4)$ and later Wang and Wang in [22] obtained the same result for (1.1) if $\lambda \in [0, (\frac{k-2}{2})^2 - 4)$. All these results uses the compactness of the solutions of the Brezis-Nirenberg problem established by Solimini and Devillanova [11] for $N \geq 7$. But [8] and [22] do not have any information about the existence and multiplicity of sign changing solutions. It is also worth mentioning that, one cannot obtain the existence and multiplicity of sign changing solutions of problem (1.1), by adopting the methods introduced in [22].

So the question of existence of infinitely many sign changing solutions for the Eq. (1.1) remains open. An important result attributed to Schechter and Zou(see [20]) asserts that there exists infinitely many sign changing solutions to the Brezis-Nirenberg problem in higher dimensions. Also Ganguly and Sandeep in [13], proved the existence of infinitely many sign changing solutions for the Brezis-Nirenberg problem in the hyperbolic space.

In the literature, the only paper which deals with the existence of sign changing solutions for the Eq. (1.1) with $t = 0$ and $k = N$ is [7], where Cao and Peng obtained a pair of sign changing solutions for $N \geq 7$, $0 \leq \lambda < \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - 4$ and $0 < \mu < \mu_1(\lambda)$.

The novelty of this article is to obtain infinitely many sign changing solutions for the Eq. (1.1). We establish an estimate on Morse index of sign changing solutions (see Theorem 3.1) which led us the following existence Theorem :

Theorem 1.1. *If $N > 6 + t$, $\mu > 0$, and $\lambda \in [0, \frac{(k-2)^2}{4} - 4)$, then* (1.1) *has infinitely many sign changing solutions.*

Remark 1. Recently, Chen and Zou in [9], proved (1.1) has infinitely many sign changing solutions when $k = N$, $t = 0$, $N \geq 7$, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda \in [0, \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - 4)$.

Remark 2. Theorem 1.1 is the cylindrical version of the result in [9]. However the condition on λ in Theorem 1.1 is coming due to the compactness properties of solutions of Eq. (2.8) (see Lemma 4.1) which in turn gives $k > 6$.

As mentioned before, due to the critical nature of the Eq. (1.1), the problem exhibits nonexistence phenomenon. First a definition:

Definition 1.1. Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary. We say that $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to the singular set if for every $(0, z_0) \in \partial\Omega$ the normal at $(0, z_0)$ is in $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$.

We prove the following nonexistence result:

Theorem 1.2. *When $\mu \leq 0$, the Eq.* (1.1) *does not admits a non-trivial solution if Ω is star shaped with respect with respect to some point $(0, z_0)$ and $\partial\Omega$ is orthogonal to singular set.*

Remark 3. When $\lambda = t = 0$, the Eq. (1.1) is well studied in [20]. Hence we assume either $\lambda > 0$ or $t > 0$.

We divide the article in to four sections. Section 2 dicusses the notations and preliminaries, Section 3 is devoted to the existence and the estimate of Morse index of sign changing solutions. The results of Section 3 are used to prove the Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

2. Notations and preliminaries

We will always denote points in $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$ as pairs $x = (y, z)$, assuming $2 < k < N$ and $0 \leq t < 2$.

Through out this paper, we denote the norm of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by $||u|| = (\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where dx denote the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real valued measurable function defined on Ω. We define

$$|u|_{q,t,\Omega} = \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^q}{|y|^t} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.1}$$

and we say $u \in L_t^q(\Omega)$ if $|u|_{q,t,\Omega} < \infty$.

$D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ will denote the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $||u||_1 = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We list here a few integral inequalities, for details we refer to [18]. The first inequality we state is the Hardy inequality.

Hardy Inequality: For $k > 2$ we have,

$$C_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y|^{-2} |u|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \ \forall u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{2.2}$$

where $C_k = \left(\frac{k-2}{2}\right)^2$ is the best constant and is not attained.

For any $\lambda \in (0, (\frac{k-2}{2})^2)$, let us introduce the Hilbert space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_\lambda := \int_\Omega \left(\nabla u \nabla v - \frac{\lambda}{|y|^2} uv \right) dx,$$

which induces the norm

$$||u||_\lambda := \left[\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \frac{|u|^2}{|y|^2} \right) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

By the Hardy inequality (2.2), we get that

$$\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{C_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||u|| \leq ||u||_\lambda, \tag{2.4}$$

hence $||.||_\lambda$ and $||.||$ are equivalent norms.

Another consequence of the Hardy inequality is that if $\lambda < \frac{(k-2)^2}{4}$ then,

$$L[.] \equiv -\Delta - \frac{\lambda}{|y|^2}$$

is positive definite and has discrete Spectrum in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Let $\mu_1(\lambda)$ be the first eigenvalue of the operator $L[.]$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, then it is characterized by the following variational principle :

$$\mu_1(\lambda) = \min_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|y|^2} dx}{\int_\Omega u^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Now it is easy to note that, if $\mu \geq \mu_1(\lambda)$, any nontrivial solution of (1.1) is sign changing. This can be seen by multiplying the first eigenfunction of the operator $(-\Delta - \frac{\lambda}{|y|^2})$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with zero-boundary value problem and integrating both sides. Thus, by the result of [22], Eq. (1.1) admits solutions and all solutions change sign. Hence, from now onwards we shall only consider $0 < \mu < \mu_1(\lambda)$.

The starting point for studying (1.1) is the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality, that is for the case $k < N$ and was proved by Maz'ya in [18]. Now recall the HSM inequality.

Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya(HSM) Inequality: Let $p > 2$ and $p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$. Let $t = N - \frac{N-2}{2}p$. Then there is $C = C(N,p)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^{N-k}} \frac{|u|^p}{|y|^t}dydz\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^{N-k}} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{(k-2)^2}{4}\frac{u^2}{|y|^2}\right]dydz \tag{2.6}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k})$.

Let us derive the following weighted L^p embedding.

Lemma 2.1. *If Ω is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, $0 \leq t < 2$, then*

$$L_t^p(\Omega) \subset L_t^q(\Omega)$$

with the inclusion being continuous, whenever $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. Let $1 \leq q < p < \infty$ and $f \in L_t^p(\Omega)$. Then by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \frac{|f|^q}{|y|^t}dx &= \int_\Omega \frac{|f|^q}{|y|^{t\frac{q}{p}}}\frac{1}{|y|^{t(1-\frac{q}{p})}}dx\\ &\leq \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|f|^p}{|y|^t}dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\left(\int_\Omega \frac{1}{|y|^t}dx\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\\ &\leq C\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|f|^p}{|y|^t}dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since second term in the RHS is finite as $t < 2$ and $k > 2$, hence we have

$$|f|_{q,t,\Omega} \leq C|f|_{p,t,\Omega}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 4. If $f \in L_t^p(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, then clearly $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ with

$$||f||_p \leq C|f|_{p,t,\Omega}.$$

Let us prove the following compactness Result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 \leq q < 2^*(t)$, $0 \leq t < 2$, then the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_t^q(\Omega)$ is compact.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}_n$ be a bounded sequence in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then upto a subsequence we may assume $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and pointwise. To complete the proof we need to show $u_n \to u$ in $L_t^q(\Omega)$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \frac{|u_n-u|^q}{|y|^t}dx &= \iint_{|y|<\delta}\frac{|u_n-u|^q}{|y|^t}dy\,dz + \iint_{|y|\geq\delta}\frac{|u_n-u|^q}{|y|^t}dy\,dz\\ &\leq \iint_{|y|<\delta}\frac{|u_n-u|^q}{|y|^t}dy\,dz + \frac{1}{\delta^t}\iint_{|y|\geq\delta}|u_n-u|^q dy\,dz.\end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the 2nd integral follow from Relich Compactness Theorem, since $2^*(t) < 2^*$. On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality and Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality (2.6),

we get,

$$\iint_{|y|<\delta} \frac{|u_n-u|^q}{|y|^t}dx \leq \left(\iint_{|y|<\delta} \frac{dydz}{|y|^t}\right)^{\frac{2^*(t)-q}{2^*(t)}} \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u_n-u|^{2^*(t)}}{|y|^t}dx\right)^{\frac{q}{2^*(t)}} \leq C||u_n-u||^q$$

for some positive constant C. Therefore, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose a δ such that

$$\iint_{|y|<\delta} \frac{|u_n-u|^q}{|y|^t}dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u_n-u|^q}{|y|^t}dx < \epsilon$$

for large n. Hence this proves the lemma. □

We recall that the solutions of (1.1) are the critical points of the energy functional given by

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|y|^2} - \frac{1}{2^*(t)}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)}}{|y|^t}dx - \frac{\mu}{2}\int_\Omega u^2 dx. \tag{2.7}$$

Then J_λ is a well defined C^1 functional on $H_0^1(\Omega)$, thanks to the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality (2.6).

We use variational methods in order to prove Theorem (1.1). The main tool is an abstract theorem proved by Schechter and Zou (see [20], Theorem 2). However we can not conclude theorem (1.1) by directly using the abstract theorem. This is because the variational problem corresponding to (1.1) does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition, therefore to overcome this difficulty we argue as in [20], for each $\epsilon_n > 0$ we obtain a sequence $\{u_l^n\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ of sign changing solutions of the following subcritical problem

$$\left.\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \lambda\frac{u}{|y|^2} &= \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-2-\epsilon_n}u}{|y|^t} + \mu u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.8}$$

with a lower bound on the Morse index. Then we prove that for fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} ||u_l^n||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} < \infty$. These are dicussed in Section 3.

3. Existence and Morse Index of sign-changing critical points

In this section we will prove the existence of sign changing solutions for the perturbed compact problem (2.8) with an estimate on Morse index. This is done by using the abstract theorem of Schechter and Zou (see [20], Theorem 2). However we cannot directly apply it due to the presence of the singular Hardy term and Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya term in Eq. (2.8), hence we need some precise estimates.

In the sequel we assume that $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is endowed with $||.||_\lambda$ norm as defined in (2.3) unless and otherwise mentioned.

Let $0 < \mu_1(\lambda) < \mu_2(\lambda) \leq \mu_3(\lambda) \ldots \leq \mu_l(\lambda) \leq \ldots$ be the eigenvalues of $(-\Delta - \frac{\lambda}{|y|^2})$ on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\phi_l(x)$ be the eigenfunction corresponding to $\mu_l(\lambda)$. Denote $E_l :=\text{span}\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_l\}$. Then $H_0^1(\Omega) = \overline{\cup_{l=1}^\infty E_l}$, $\dim E_l = l$ and $E_l \subset E_{l+1}$.
We fix a $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough and choose a sequence ϵ_n in $(0, \epsilon_0)$ such that $\epsilon_n \to 0$ in Eq. (2.8).

Theorem 3.1. *Fix $\lambda \in [0, \frac{(k-2)^2}{4} - 4)$, $\mu > 0$, then for every n the Eq. (2.8) has infinitely many sign changing solutions $\{u_l^n\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ such that for each l, the sequence $\{u_l^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and the augmented Morse index of u_l^n on the space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is greater than or equal to l.*

Let us denote the energy functional corresponding to (2.8) by

$$J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{|y|^2}u^2 - \mu u^2\right) dx - \frac{1}{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}}{|y|^t}dx, \tag{3.1}$$

then the singular term $\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon}}{|y|^t}$is finite by Lemma 2.1 and Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality (2.6). Hence J_{λ,ϵ_n} is a C^2, even functional on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. In view of Lemma 2.2, J_{λ,ϵ_n} also satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. In order to prove the Theorem 3.1, it is enough to obtain sign changing critical points for the functional J_{λ,ϵ_n} .

Recall the Augmented Morse index $m^*(u_l^n)$ of u_l^n in the space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$m^*(u_l^n) = \max\{\dim H : H \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ is a subspace such that } J''_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(h,h) \ \leq 0 \ \forall \ h \ \in H\}.$$

For each $\epsilon_n \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ fixed, we define,

$$||u||_{n,*} := ||u||_* = \left[\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}}{|y|^t}dx\right]^{\frac{1}{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}} \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

then from Lemma 2.1 and Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality (2.6), we get $||u||_* \leq C||u||_\lambda$ for all $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ for some constant $C > 0$. Moreover we have, for fixed n, $||v_k - v||_* \to 0$ whenever $v_k \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, thanks to Lemma 2.2.

We write $P := \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : u \geq 0\}$ for the convex cone of nonnegative functions in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Define for $\delta > 0$,

$$D(\delta) := \{u \in H_0^1 : \text{dist}(u, P) < \delta\}.$$

Denote the set of all critical points by

$$K_n^\lambda := \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : J'_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) = 0\}.$$

The important properties of J_{λ,ϵ_n} needed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 are collected below.

Clearly J_{λ,ϵ_n} is a C^2 even functional which maps bounded sets to bounded sets in terms of the norm $||.||_\lambda$. The gradient J'_{λ,ϵ_n} is of the form $J'_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) = u - K_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u)$, where $K_{\lambda,\epsilon_n} : H_0^1(\Omega) \to H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a continuous and compact operator. Now we are going to study how the operator K_{λ,ϵ_n} behaves on $D(\delta)$. Let us prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $\rho_0 > 0$ small enough, we have that $K_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(D(\rho_0)) \subset D(\rho) \subset D(\rho_0)$ for some $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ for each λ, n with $\lambda \in [0, \frac{(k-2)^2}{4} - 4)$. Moreover, $D(\rho_0) \cap K_n^\lambda \subset P$.*

Proof. First note that $K_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u)$ can be decomposed as $K_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) = L(u) + W(u)$ where $L(u), W(u) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ are the unique solutions of the equations

$$-\Delta(L(u)) = \mu u \text{ and } -\Delta(W(u)) = \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n-2}u}{|y|^t}.$$

In other words, $L(u)$ and $W(u)$ are uniquely determine by the relations

$$\langle Lu, v\rangle_\lambda = \mu\int_\Omega uv \ dx, \ \langle W(u), v\rangle_\lambda = \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n-2}uv}{|y|^t}dx. \tag{3.2}$$

Claim If $u \in P$, then $L(u)$, $G(u) \in P$.
Proof of claim Define, $L(u)^- = \max\{0, -L(u)\}$. If $u \in P$, we have $u \geq 0$, and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle L(u), L(u)^-\rangle_\lambda &= \int_\Omega \left(\nabla L(u)\nabla L(u)^- - \lambda\frac{L(u)L(u)^-}{|y|^2}\right)dx \\ &= -\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla L(u)^-|^2 - \lambda\frac{|L(u)^-|^2}{|y|^2}\right)dx \\ &= \mu\int_\Omega uL(u)^- dx \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla L(u)^-|^2 dx \leq \lambda\int_\Omega \frac{|L(u)^-|^2}{|y|^2}dx,$$

then by Hardy's inequality (2.2), we get $L(u)^- = 0$ a.e., which imply $L(u) \in P$. Similarly, we have $G(u) \in P$. This proves the claim.

Using relation (3.2) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Lu, Lu\rangle_\lambda \quad &= \mu\int_\Omega uLu\ dx \\ &\leq \mu\left(\int_\Omega |u|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_\Omega |Lu|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{\mu_1(\lambda)}\left(\int_\Omega \left[|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda\frac{|u|^2}{|y|^2}\right]dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_\Omega \left[|Lu|^2 - \lambda\frac{|Lu|^2}{|y|^2}\right]dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{\mu_1(\lambda)}||Lu||_\lambda||u||_\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Now since $\mu < \mu_1(\lambda)$, we get,

$$||Lu||_\lambda \leq \alpha||u||_\lambda,$$

where $\alpha < 1$. Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $v \in P$ be such that $\text{dist}(u, P) = ||u - v||_\lambda$, then

$$\text{dist}(Lu, P) \leq ||Lu - Lv||_\lambda \leq \alpha||u - v||_\lambda \leq \alpha\text{dist}(u, P). \tag{3.3}$$

Next we shall estimate the distance between $W(u)$ and P. Set $u^- := \max\{0, -u\}$, $p_n(t) = 2^*(t) - \epsilon_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{dist}(W(u), P)||W(u)^-||_\lambda \leq ||W(u)^-||_\lambda^2 \leq \langle W(u), W(u)^-\rangle_\lambda \\ &= \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2*(t)-\epsilon_n-2}uW(u)^-}{|y|^t}dx \leq \int_\Omega \frac{|u^-|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n-1}|W(u)^-|}{|y|^t}dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \frac{|u^-|^{p_n(t)-1}}{|y|^{t\frac{p_n(t)-1}{p_n(t)}}}\frac{|W(u)^-|}{|y|^{\frac{t}{p_n(t)}}}dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u^-|^{p_n(t)}}{|y|^t}dx\right)^{\frac{p_n(t)-1}{p_n(t)}}\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|W(u)^-|^{p_n(t)}}{|y|^t}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p_n(t)}},\end{aligned}$$

the second term of the right hand side could be estimated by using Lemma 2.1 and Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality (2.6), hence we get,

$$\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|W(u)^-|^{p_n(t)}}{|y|^t}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p_n(t)}} \leq C||W(u)^-||_\lambda.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and inequality (2.6), we obtain,

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u^-|^{p_n(t)}}{|y|^t}dx = \min_{v\in P}\int_\Omega \frac{|u-v|^{p_n(t)}}{|y|^t} \leq C \min_{v\in P}||u-v||_\lambda^{p_n(t)}.$$

Thus we get,

$$\text{dist}(W(u),P) \leq C[\text{dist}(u,P)]^{p_n(t)-1} \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Choose $\alpha < \nu < 1$, then there exists ρ_0 such that, if $\rho \leq \rho_0$

$$\text{dist}(W(u),P) \leq (\nu-\alpha)\text{dist}(u,P) \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in D(\rho). \tag{3.4}$$

Fix $\rho \leq \rho_0$. Inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\text{dist}(K_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u),P) &\leq \text{dist}(L(u),P) + \text{dist}(W(u),P)\\ &\leq \nu\text{dist}(u,P)\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in D(\rho)$, hence we have $K_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(D(\rho_0)) \subset D(\rho) \subset D(\rho_0)$ for some $\rho \in (0,\rho_0)$. Also from this relation we deduce that, for any $\rho < \rho_0$ it holds,

$$u \in \partial D(\rho) \Longrightarrow ||J'_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u)|| \geq C, \tag{3.5}$$

where $C > 0$ is a positive constant. This in particular means that if $u \in D(\rho_0) \cap K_n^\lambda$ then indeed $u \in P$. This proves the Proposition. □

Now we want to examine how the functional J_{λ,ϵ_n} behaves on each finite dimensional space E_l.

Proposition 3.3. *For each l, $\lim_{||u||\to\infty, u\in E_l} J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) = -\infty$*

Proof. For each n , $||.||_*$ defines a norm on $H_0^1(\Omega)$, now since E_k is finite dimensional, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $||u||_\lambda \leq C||u||_*$ for all $u \in E_k$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|y|^2}dx - C\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}}{|y|^t}dx\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}||u||_\lambda^2 - C||u||_\lambda^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $2^*(t) - \epsilon_n > 2$, we have $\lim_{||u||\to\infty, u\in E_k} J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) = -\infty$. □

Proposition 3.4. *For any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$, there exists an α_3 depending on α_1 and α_2 such that $||u|| \leq \alpha_3$ for all $u \in J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}^{\alpha_1} \cap \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : ||u||_* \leq \alpha_2\}$ where $J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}^{\alpha_1} = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) \leq \alpha_1\}$.*

Proof. Using Hardy's inequality 2.2, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) + \frac{1}{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}||u||_*^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\mu}{2}\int_\Omega u^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|y|^2}dx\\ &= \frac{1}{2}||u||_\lambda^2 - \frac{\mu}{2}\int_\Omega |u|^2 dx\\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left[||u||_\lambda^2 - \frac{\mu}{\mu_1(\lambda)}||u||_\lambda^2\right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) + \frac{1}{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}||u||_*^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\mu_1(\lambda)-\mu}{\mu_1(\lambda)}\right]||u||_\lambda^2$.
Hence the proposition follows. □

Now we can prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 The propositions 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 tell us that J_{λ,ϵ_n} satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2 in [20]. Thus J_{λ,ϵ_n} has a sign changing critical point $u_l^n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ at a level $C(n,\lambda,l)$ where $C(n,\lambda,l) \leq \sup_{E_{l+1}} J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}$ and the augmented Morse index $m^*(u_l^n)$ of u_l^n is greater than or equal to l. The only things remains to show is that the sequence $\{u_l^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded for each l.

Claim There exists a constant $T_1 > 0$ independent of l and n such that

$$\sup_{E_{l+1}} J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) \leq T_1 \mu_{l+1}(\lambda)^{\frac{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0}{2(2^*(t)-\epsilon_0-2)}}.$$

Proof of Claim The definition of E_{l+1} implies that $||u||_\lambda^2 \leq \lambda_{l+1}||u||_{L^2}^2 \leq C\lambda_{l+1}|u|_{p,t,\Omega}^2$. Note we have $|u|_{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0,\Omega} \leq D_1|u|_{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n,\Omega}$, where $D_1 > 0$ is a constant, independent of n and k. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|y|^2}dx - \frac{1}{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}}{|y|^t}dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}||u||_\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}}{|y|^t}dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the inequality

$$|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0} \leq c_1|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n} + c_2,$$

and the fact $t < 2$, we get,

$$J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}||u||_\lambda^2 - D_2\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0}}{|y|^t}dx + D_3,$$

where $D_2 > 0$, $D_3 > 0$ are constants independent of n and l. Also there exists a constant $D_4 > 0$ such that $|u|_{2,t,\Omega} \leq D_4|u|_{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0,t,\Omega}$, therefore we may have D_5 such that $||u||_\lambda^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0} \leq D_5\lambda_{l+1}^{(2^*(t)-\epsilon_0)/2}|u|_{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0,t,\Omega}^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0}$ for all $u \in E_{l+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u) &\leq \frac{1}{2}||u||_\lambda^2 - D_6\mu_{l+1}(\lambda)^{-(2^*(t)-\epsilon_0)/2}||u||_\lambda^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0} + D_3 \\ &\leq D_7\mu_{l+1}(\lambda)^{\frac{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0}{2(2^*(t)-\epsilon_0-2)}} + D_3 \\ &\leq T_1\mu_{l+1}(\lambda)^{\frac{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0}{2(2^*(t)-\epsilon_0-2)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_i (i = 1,\ldots,7)$ and T_1 are positive constants independent of l and n.
Also we know that energy of any critical point of J_{λ,ϵ_n} is nonnegative. Thus $J_{\lambda,\epsilon_n}(u_l^n)$ $\in [0, T_1\mu_{l+1}(\lambda)^{\frac{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0}{2(2^*(t)-\epsilon_0-2)}}]$. This subsequently implies that the sequence $\{u_l^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ for each l. This proves the Theorem.

4. Proof of the Main Theorems

In this section we will prove the existence of infinitely many solutions for the Eq. (1.1). First we recall the following compactness results by C.Wang and J.Wang (see [22], Theorem 1.3 for a proof):

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mu > 0$, $N > 6 + t$, $\lambda \in [0, \frac{(k-2)^2}{4} - 4)$ and u_n is a solution of (2.8) for each ϵ_n. Suppose $\epsilon_n \to 0$ and $||u_n|| \leq C$ for some constant independent of n, then u_n has a subsequence, which converges strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as $n \to +\infty$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1: The proof is divided into two steps.

Step-1: By combining Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1, we get a sequence $\{u_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ of solutions of the problem (1.1) with energy $C(\lambda,l) \in [0, T_1\mu_{l+1}(\lambda)^{\frac{2^*(t)-\epsilon_0}{2(2^*(t)-\epsilon_0-2)}}]$. Moreover, we claim that u_l is still sign changing. Since $\{u_l^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sign changing solutions to (2.8) , let

$$(u_l^n)^{\pm} := \max\{\pm u_l^n, 0\}.$$

Then we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla (u_l^n)^{\pm}|^2 dx = \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{|(u_l^n)^{\pm}|^2}{|y|^2} dx + \mu \int_\Omega |(u_l^n)^{\pm}|^2 dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|(u_l^n)^{\pm}|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}}{|y|^t} dx.$$

Thus

$$||(u_l^n)^{\pm}||_\lambda^2 \leq \alpha ||(u_l^n)^{\pm}||_\lambda^2 + \int_\Omega \frac{|(u_l^n)^{\pm}|^{2^*(t)-\epsilon_n}}{|y|^t},$$

where $\alpha < 1$. Then using Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality (2.6) it follows that

$$||(u_l^n)^{\pm}||_\lambda \geq C_0 > 0.$$

where C_0 is a constant independent of n. This implies that the limit u_l of the subsequence $\{u_l^n\}$ is still sign-changing.

Step-2 : Now it remains to show that infinitely many $u_l's$ are different. This follows if we show that energy of u_l goes to infinity as $l \to \infty$.

Suppose not, then $\lim_{l\to\infty} C(\lambda,l) = c' < \infty$. For any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we may find an n_l(assume $n_l > l$) such that $|C(n_l,\lambda,l) - C(\lambda,l)| < \frac{1}{l}$. It follows that $\lim_{l\to\infty} C(n_l,\lambda,l) = \lim_{l\to\infty} C(\lambda,l) = c' < \infty$. Hence, $\{u_l^{n_l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and hence satisfies the uniform bound given by Lemma 4.1. Therefore the augmented Morse index of $u_l^{n_l}$ remains bounded which contradicts the fact that the augmented Morse index of $u_l^{n_l}$ is greater than or equal to l. Thus $\lim_{l\to\infty} C(\lambda,l) = \infty$ and hence infinitely many $u_l's$ are different. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 The proof is based on the Pohozaev identity. The difficulty in applying this identity is because of the presence singular terms. We can overcome this difficulty by using the partial H^2- regularity. With an obvious modification from ([3], Theorem 2.4), we can prove if u is a solution to the Eq. (1.1), then $u_{z_i} \in H^1(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N-k$.

To make the test function smooth we introduce cut-off functions and pass to the limit with the help of the above regularity result. We will assume without loss of generality that Ω is star shaped with respect to the origin.

For $\epsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$, define $\varphi_{\epsilon,R} = \varphi_\epsilon(x)\psi_R(x)$ where $\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \phi(|y|/\epsilon)$, $\psi_R = \psi(|x|/R)$, φ and ψ are smooth functions in $\mathbb{R}$ with the properties $0 \leq \varphi, \psi \leq 1$, with supports of φ and ψ in $(1,\infty)$ and $(-\infty, 2)$ respectively and $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 2$, and $\psi(t) = 1$ for $t \leq 1$.

Assume that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution u. Then u is smooth away from the singular set and hence $(x.\nabla u)\varphi_{\epsilon,R} \in \mathrm{C}_c^2(\Omega)$. Multiplying Eq.(1.1) by this test function and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla((x.\nabla u)\varphi_{\epsilon,R})\ dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{u(x.\nabla u)\varphi_{\epsilon,R}}{|y|^2} dx - \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x.\nabla u)\varphi_{\epsilon,R}\ dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-2}u}{|y|^t}(x.\nabla u)\varphi_{\epsilon,R}\ dx + \mu \int_\Omega u(x.\nabla u)\varphi_{\epsilon,R}\ dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Now, RHS of (4.1) can be simplified as

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)-2}u}{|y|^t}(x.\nabla u)\varphi_{\epsilon,R}\ dx + \mu \int_\Omega u(x.\nabla u)\varphi_{\epsilon,R}\ dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^*(t)} \int_\Omega (\nabla |u|^{2^*(t)}.x) \frac{\varphi_{\epsilon,R}}{|y|^t} dx + \frac{\mu}{2} dx \int_\Omega (\nabla |u|^2.x) \varphi_{\epsilon,R}\ dx$$
$$= -\frac{(N-2)}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)}}{|y|^t} \varphi_{\epsilon,R}\ dx - \frac{1}{2^*(t)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)}}{|y|^t} [x.(\psi_R \nabla \varphi_\epsilon dx + \varphi_\epsilon \nabla \psi_R)]\ dx$$
$$-\frac{N\mu}{2} \int_\Omega |u|^2 \varphi_{\epsilon,R}\ dx - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_\Omega |u|^2 [x.(\psi_R \nabla \varphi_\epsilon dx + \varphi_\epsilon \nabla \psi_R)]\ dx.$$

Note that $|x.(\psi_R \nabla \varphi_\epsilon + \varphi_\epsilon \nabla \psi_R)| \leq C$ and hence using the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} [\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} RHS] = -\frac{(N-2)}{2} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(t)}}{|y|^t} dx - \frac{N\mu}{2} \int_\Omega |u|^2\ dx. \tag{4.2}$$

For LHS, using integration by parts and the fact that $u_{z_i} \in H^1(\Omega)$, (see [3], Theorem 4.1 for detail), we get

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} [\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} LHS] = -\frac{(N-2)}{2} \left[\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \frac{u^2}{|y|^2} \right) dx \right] - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 (x.\nu)\ dx. \tag{4.3}$$

Substituting (4.2) and (4.3) in (4.1), and using Eq. (1.1), we get

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 (x.\nu)\ dx + 2|\mu| \int_\Omega u^2\ dx = 0$$

which implies $u = 0$ in Ω by the principle of unique continuation. This proves the theorem.

References

[1] J.Batt, W.Faltenbacher, E.Horst; *Stationary spherically symmetric models in stellar dynamics*, Arch.Ration. Mech. Anal. 93 (1986) 159-183.

[2] M.Badiale, G.Tarantello; *A Sobolev Hardy inequality with applications to a nonlinear elliptic equation arising in astrophysics*, Arch.Ration.Mech. Anal. 163 (2002) 259-293.

[3] M.Bhakta, K.Sandeep; *Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya type equation in bounded domain*, J.Differential Equations 247(2009) 119-139.

[4] M.Bhakta, K.Sandeep; *Poincaré-Sobolev equations in the hyperbolic space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 44 (2012) no. 1-2, 247-269

[5] H.Brezis, L.Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983) no. 4, 437-477.

[6] D.Castorina, I.Fabbri, G.Mancini, K.Sandeep; *Hardy- Sobolev extremals, hyperbolic symmetry and scalar curvature equations*, Journal of Differential Equations 246 (2009) 1187-1206.

[7] D.Cao, S.Peng; *A note on the sign-changing solutions to elliptic problems with critical sobolev and Hardy terms*, J. Differential Equations 193 (2003) 424-434.

[8] D.Cao, S.Yan; *Infinitely many solutions for an elliptic problem involving critical Sobolev growth and Hardy potential*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 38 (2010) 471-501.

[9] Z.Chen, W. Zou; *On an elliptic problem with critical exponent and Hardy potential*, J. Differential Equations 252 (2012) 969-987.

[10] G.Cerami, S.Solimini, M.Struwe; *Some existence results for superlinear elliptic boundary value problems involving critical exponents*, J.Funct.Anal. 69 (1986) 289-306.

[11] G.Devillanova, S.Solimini; Concentration estimates and multiple solutions to elliptic problems at critical growth, Adv.Differ.Eq, 7(10) (2002) 257-1280.

[12] D.Cao, P.Han; *Solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents and Hardy potential*, J.Differential Equations 205(2004) 521-537.

[13] D.Ganguly, K.Sandeep; *Sign changing solutions of the Brezis-Nirenberg problem in the Hyperbolic space*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 50 (2013) 69-91.

[14] M.Gazzini, R.Musina; *Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ja inequalies: Symmetry and breaking symmetry of extremals*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 11 (2009) no.6, 993-1007

[15] E.Jannelli; *The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems*, J. Differential Equations 156 (1999) 407-426.

[16] G.Mancini, K.Sandeep; *On a semilinear elliptic equation in H^n*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), **7**, no.4 (2008) 635-671.

[17] G.Mancini, I.Fabbri, K.Sandeep; *Classification of solutions of a critical Hardy Sobolev Operator*, J. Differential Equations 224(2006) 258-276.
[18] V.G.Maz'ya; *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer Ser. in Soviet Math , Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
[19] R.Musina; *Ground state solutions of a critical problem involving cylindrical weights*, Nonlinear Anal. 68 (2008) 3927-3986.
[20] M.Schechter, M.Zou; *On the Brezis-Nirenberg problem*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 197(1) (2010) 337-356.
[21] A.K.Tertikas, K.Tintarev; *On existence of minimizers for the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 186(1) (2007) 645-662.
[22] C.Wang, J.Wang; *Infinitely many solutions for Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya equation involving critical growth*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 14 (2012) no. 6, 1250044, 38 pp.

(Debdip Ganguly)
Centre for Applicable Mathematics, Tata Instiute of Fundamental Research, P.O. Box 6503, GKVK Post Office, Bangalore 560065, India
E-mail address: debdip@math.tifrbng.res.in